arXiv:1410.4312v3 [cs.CR] 10 Apr 2015

Zero-Correlation Linear Cryptanalysis of Reduced-round MISTY1

Wentan Yi* **and Shaozhen Chen**

State Key Laboratory of Mathematical Engineering and Advanced Computing, Zhengzhou 450001, China

Abstract. The MISTY1 algorithm, proposed by Matsui in FSE 1997, is a block cipher with a 64-bit block size and a 128-bit key size. It was recommended by the European NESSIE project and the CRYPTREC project, and became one RFC in 2002 and an ISO standard in 2005, respectively. In this paper, we first investigate the properties of the FL linear function and identify 2^{32} subkey-dependent zero-correlation linear approximations over 5-round MISTY1 with 3 FL layers. Furthermore, some observations on the FL, FO and FI functions are founded and based upon those observations, we select 2^7 subkey-dependent zero-correlation linear approximations and then, propose the zero-correlation linear attacks on 7-round MISTY1 with 4 FL layers. Besides, for the case without FL layers, 2^7 zero-correlation linear approximations over 5-round MISTY1 are employed to the analysis of 7-round MISTY1.

The zero-correlation linear attack on the 7-round with 4 FL layers needs about $2^{119.5}$ encryptions with $2^{62.9}$ known plaintexts and 2^{61} memory bytes. For the attack on 7-round without FL layers, the data complexity is about $2^{63.9}$ known plaintexts, the time complexity is about 2^{81} encryptions and the memory requirements are about 2^{93} bytes. Both have lower time complexity than previous attacks.

Keywords: MISTY1, Block cipher, Zero-correlation linear cryptanalysis, Cryptography

1 Introduction

MISTY1 is a block cipher designed by Matsui[16] in FSE 1997. Since selected as one of Japanese e-Government standard ciphers by the CRYPTREC project in 2002, MISTY1 became widely deployed in Japan. Outside of Japan, MISTY1 was one of the final portfolio of the NESSIE-recommended ciphers[18], and approved as one RFC [17] in 2000 and as an ISO standard[9] in 2005, respectively. Besides, MISTY1 was selected as the blueprint of the GSM/3G block cipher KASUMI[20], which is one of the most widely used block ciphers in the world. For those reasons, it is very important to understand the security offered by MISTY1.

* Corresponding authors.
E-mail addresses: nlwt8988@gmail.com.

Table 1: Summary of the attacks on MISTY1

Attack Type	Rounds	FL Layer	Date Complexity	Time Complexity	Source
HOD	7	3	$2^{54.1}$CP	$2^{120.7}$Enc	[21]
IA	6	4	2^{32}CP	$2^{126.09}$Enc	[19]
ID	6	None	2^{54}CP	2^{61}Enc	[12]
ID	7	None	$2^{50.2}$CP	$2^{114.1}$Enc	[13]
ID	7	None	2^{55}CP	$2^{92.2}$Enc	[10]
ID	6	4	$2^{52.5}$CP	$2^{112.4}$Enc	[10]
ID	7	3	2^{58}KP	$2^{124.4}$Enc	[10]
MZCL	7	None	$2^{63.9}$KP	2^{81}Enc	Sect.[5]
MZCL	7	4	$2^{62.9}$KP	2^{118}Enc	Sect.[4]

HOD: Higher-Order Differential; IA: Integral Attack; ID: Impossible Differential; MZCL: Multidimensional Zero-Correlation Linear; CP: Chosen Plaintext; KP: Known Plaintext; Enc: Encryption.

In the past 15 years, many cryptanalytic methods have been used to evaluate the security of MISTY1. For the low order degree of the S-boxes used in MISTY1, Babbage[1] gave the first higher order differential cryptanalysis of 5-round MISTY1 without FL layers. Tsunoo et al.[21] introduced the higher order differential cryptanalysis of 7-round MISTY1 with FL layers, which is a chosen plaintext attack. Kühn [11] gave the first 6-round impossible differential cryptanalysis, which was improved by Lu et al.[13] with lower data complexity and time complexity. Kühn [12] introduced a slicing attack on 4 rounds MISTY1. Later, combining the slicing attack and the generic impossible differential attack against 5-round Feistel constructions, Dunkelman et al.[7] gave a 6-round cryptanalytic result for MISTY1 with FL layers and a 7-round cryptanalytic result without FL layers. Recently, taking advantage of some observations on FL functions and the early-abort technique, Jia et al.[10] improved a previous impossible differential attack on 6-round MISTY1 with 4 FL layers, 7-round MISTY1 with 3 FL layers and 7-round MISTY1 without FL layers. For the results in respect to the methods such as integral attacks, collision search attacks and attacks under certain weak key assumptions, the related-key differential and amplified boomerang cryptanalysis of MISTY1, see [19],[11],[6],[14],[15].

In this paper, we apply the recent zero-correlation linear attacks to the block cipher MISTY1. Zero-correlation linear cryptanalysis, proposed by Bogdanov and Rijmen[4], is a novel promising attack technique for block ciphers. It uses zero-correlation linear approximations generally existing in block ciphers to distinguish the differences between a random

permutation and a block cipher, which is different from the traditional linear cryptanalysis where high-correlation linear characteristics are used. The initial distinguishers [4] have some limitations in terms of data complexity, which needs at least half of the codebook. Bogdanov and Wang [5] proposed a more data-efficient distinguisher by making use of multiple zero-correlation linear approximations. The date complexity is reduced, however, the distinguishers rely on the assumption that all zero-correlation linear approximations are independent. To remove the unnecessary independency assumptions on the distinguishing side, multidimensional distinguishers [3] were constructed for the zero-correlation property at AsiaCrypt 2012. Recently, the multidimensional zero-correlation linear cryptanalysis were used in the analysis of the block cipher CAST-256[3], CLEFIA[2], HIGHT[22], E2[23] and KASUMI[24].

In this paper, we evaluate the security of MISTY1 with respect to the multidimensional zero-correlation linear cryptanalysis. Our contributions are summarized as follows:

1. We investigate the propagation characteristics of the linear masks on FL function and then propose four types of 5-round subkey-dependent zero-correlation linear approximation of MISTY1. Each type contains 2^{32} linear approximations, and the input masks and output masks of which are subkey-dependent. However, if all 2^{32} linear approximations are taken in consideration in the key recovery process of MISTY1, there will be too many subkey bits involved that the time complexity will be greater than exhaustive search. Fortunately, we find some observations on FO, FI and FL functions that when some special output masks are selected, only a part of keys influence the correlations of the linear approximations. For instance, if we set the right 9-bit output masks of FI function to zero, the absolute value of the correlations of the linear approximations will be independent with the involved subkeys. Those observations help us to select suitable linear approximations, which can reduce the number of the guessed subkey bits.

2. Based on the selected subkey-dependent zero-correlation linear approximations, we propose the multidimensional zero-correlation linear attack on 7-round MISTY1 with 4 FL layers using the partial-sum technique and the relations among subkeys obtained by the key schedule. Besides, MISTY1 without FL layers adopts strict balance Feistel structure, which has 2^{32} nature zero-correlation linear approximations[4]. We select 2^7 ones based on the observations on FO, FI and FL functions, and propose the multidimensional zero-correlation linear attack on 7-round MISTY1 without FL layers

The paper is organized as follows. We list some notations, briefly describe the block cipher MISTY1, outline the ideas of multidimensional zero-correlation linear cryptanalysis and explain why the subkey-dependent zero-correlation linear approximations are available in Section 2. Four types of 5-round subkey-dependent zero-correlation linear approximations of MISTY1 are shown in Section 3, as well as some observations on FL, FO and FI functions. Section 4 and Section 5 illustrate our attacks on 7-round MISTY1 with 4 FL layers and without FL layers. We conclude this paper in Section 6.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notations

FL_i : the i-th FL function of MSITY1 with subkey KL_i.
FO_i : the i-th FO function of MSITY1 with subkey (KO_i, KI_i).
FI_{ij} : the j-th FI function of FO_i with subkey KI_{ij}.
$\wedge$: bitwise AND.
$\vee$: bitwise OR.
$\oplus$: bitwise XOR.
$\neg$: bitwise NOT.
$a \cdot b$: the scalar product of binary vectors by $a \cdot b = \oplus_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i b_i$.
$X \| Y$: the concatenation of X and Y.
$z[i]$: the i-th bit of z, and $'0'$ is the most significant bit.
$z[i_1 - i_2]$: the $(i_2 - i_1 + 1)$ bits from the i_1-th bit to i_2-th bit of z.
$f \circ g$: the composite function of f and g.
f^{-1} : the inverse function of f.

2.2 Description of KASUMI

The MISTY1 algorithms [16] is a symmetric block cipher with a block size of 64 bits and a key size of 128 bits. It adopts a 8-round Feistel structure with an FL layer every 2 rounds, see Fig. 1 (a) for an illustration. The FL layer consists of two FL functions. The FL function is a simple key-dependent boolean function, depicted in Fig. 1 (d). Let the inputs of the FL function of the i-th round be $XL_i = XL_{i,l} \| XL_{i,r}, KL_i = (KL_{i,1}, KL_{i,2})$, the output be $YL_i = YL_{i,l} \| YL_{i,r}$, where $XL_{i,l}$, $XL_{i,r}$, $YL_{i,l}$ and $YL_{i,r}$ are 16-bit integers. We define the FL function as follows:

$$YL_{i,r} = (XL_{i,l} \wedge KL_{i,1}) \oplus XL_{i,r};$$

$$YL_{i,l} = (YL_{i,r} \vee KL_{i,2}) \oplus XL_{i,l}.$$

The round function, that is the FO function, depicted in Fig. 1 (b), is another 3-round Feistel structure consisting of 3 FI functions and the key mixing stages. Let $XO_i = XO_{i,l} \| XO_{i,r}$, $KO_i = (KO_{i,1}, KO_{i,2}, KO_{i,3}, KO_{i,4})$, $KI_i = (KI_{i,1}, KI_{i,2}, KI_{i,3})$ be the inputs of the FO function of i-th round, and $YO_i = YO_{i,l} \| YO_{i,r}$ be the corresponding output, where $XO_{i,l}$,



Figure 1: The structure and building blocks of MISTY1

$XO_{i,r}$, $YO_{i,l}$, $YO_{i,r}$ and $\overline{XI_{i,3}}$ are 16-bit integers. Then the FO function has the form

$$\overline{XI_{i,3}} = FI((XO_{i,l} \oplus KO_{i,1}), KI_{i,1}) \oplus XO_{i,r};$$

$$YO_{i,l} = FI((XO_{i,r} \oplus KO_{i,2}), KI_{i,2}) \oplus \overline{XI_{i,3}} \oplus KO_{i,4};$$

$$YO_{i,r} = FI((\overline{XI_{i,3}} \oplus KO_{i,3}), KI_{i,3}) \oplus YO_{i,l} \oplus KO_{i,4}.$$

The structure of the FI function is depicted in Fig. 1 (c). It uses two S-boxes S_7 and S_9 which are permutations of 7-bit to 7-bit and 9-bit to 9-bit respectively. Let $XI_{i,j}$, $YI_{i,j}$ be the inputs and the outputs of the j-th FI function of the i-th round, where $XI_{i,j}$ and $YI_{i,j}$ are 16-bit integers. Denote that $KI_{i,j,l} = KI_{i,j}[0-8]$, $KI_{i,j,r} = KI_{i,j}[9-15]$, and $\overline{YI_{i,j,l}}$, $YI_{i,j,l}$ are two 7-bit variables, $\overline{YI_{i,j,r}}$, $YI_{i,j,r}$ are two 9-bit variables. The FI function can be

Table 2: The key schedule of MISTY1

$KO_{i,1}$	$KO_{i,2}$	$KO_{i,3}$	$KO_{i,4}$	$KI_{i,1}$	$KI_{i,2}$	$KI_{i,3}$	$KL_{i,1}$	$KL_{i,2}$
K_i	K_{i+2}	K_{i+7}	K_{i+4}	K'_{i+5}	K'_{i+1}	K'_{i+3}	$K_{\frac{i+1}{2}}$(odd i)	$K'_{\frac{i+1}{2}+6}$(odd i)
							$K'_{\frac{i}{2}+2}$(even i)	$K_{\frac{i}{2}+4}$(even i)

described as follows:

$$\overline{YI_{i,j,r}} = S_9(XI_{i,j}[0-8]) \oplus XI_{i,j}[9-15];$$

$$\overline{YI_{i,j,l}} = S_7(XI_{i,j}[9-15]) \oplus \overline{YI_{i,j,r}};$$

$$YI_{i,j,l} = \overline{YI_{i,j,l}} \oplus KI_{i,j,l};$$

$$YI_{i,j,r} = S_9(\overline{YI_{i,j,r}} \oplus KI_{i,j,r}) \oplus YI_{i,j,l};$$

$$YI_{i,j} = YI_{i,j,l} \| YI_{i,j,r},$$

where a 9-bit variable α bitwise XOR a 7-bit variable β, the 7-bit variable β is first extend to 9-bit with two '0'bits in the left, while a 7-bit variable β bitwise XOR a 9-bit variable α, the 9-bit variable α is truncated to 7-bit ignoring the left two bits.

Let (L_0, R_0), (L_8, R_8) are the plaintext and ciphertext, and $L_i||R_i = \big((L_{i,l}\|L_{i,r})\|(R_{i,l}\|R_{i,r})\big)$ be the output of the $(i-1)$-th round, $i = 1, 2..., 7$ and then the round function is defined as:

$$(L_i, R_i) = \begin{cases} \Big(FO\big(FL(L_{i-1})\big) \oplus FL(R_{i-1}), FL(L_{i-1})\Big), & \text{when } i = 1, 3, 5, 7; \\ \Big(FO(L_{i-1}) \oplus R_{i-1},\ L_{i-1}\Big), & \text{when } i = 2, 4, 6; \\ \Big(FL(FO(L_{i-1}) \oplus R_{i-1}),\ FL(L_{i-1})\Big), & \text{when } i = 8. \end{cases}$$

The key schedule of MISTY1 takes the 128-bit key, which is divided into eight 16-bit words: $(K_1, K_2, ..., K_8)$, that is $K = (K_1, K_2, ..., K_8)$. From this set of subkeys, another eight 16-bit words are generated according to $K'_i = FI_{K_{i+1}}(K_i)$. $KL_i = (KL_{i,1}, KL_{i,2})$, $KO_i = (KO_{i,1}, KO_{i,2}, KO_{i,3}, KO_{i,4})$, and $KI_i = (KI_{i,1}, KI_{i,2}, KI_{i,3})$ are listed in Tab.2.

2.3 Zero-correlation Linear cryptanalysis

Consider a function $f : F_2^n \mapsto F_2^m$ and let the input of the function be $x \in F_2^n$. A linear approximation with an input mask α and an output mask β is the following function:

$$x \mapsto \beta \cdot f(x) \oplus a \cdot x,$$

and its correlation is defined as follows

$$C(\beta \cdot f(x), a \cdot x) = 2Pr_x(\beta \cdot f(x) \oplus a \cdot x = 0) - 1.$$

In zero-correlation linear cryptanalysis, the distinguishers use linear approximations with zero correlation for all keys while the classical linear cryptanalysis utilizes linear approximations with correlation far from zero. The basic idea of zero-correlation linear attack can be seen as the projection of impossible differential cryptanalysis to linear cryptanalysis. To construct the zero-correlation linear approximations, one adopts the miss-in-the-middle techniques just like to find impossible differential. Any linear approximations with nonzero bias is concatenated to any linear approximations with nonzero bias in the inverse direction, where the intermediate masks states contradict with each other.

Bogdanov et al. [5] proposed a multidimensional zero-correlation linear distinguisher using ℓ zero-correlation linear approximations and requiring $\mathcal{O}(2^{n+2}/\sqrt{\ell})$ distinct known plaintexts, where n is the block size of a cipher. Let the zero-correlation linear distinguishers be the first $r-1$ rounds of a r-round block cipher. The zero-correlation linear approximations are available as a linear space spanned by m base zero-correlation linear approximations such that all $\ell = 2^m$ non-zero linear combinations of them have zero correlation. For each of the 2^m data values $z \in F_2^m$, the attacker initializes a counter $V[z]$, $z = 0, 1, ..., 2^m - 1$ with all elements being zero. Then, the attacker computes the data value z in F_2^m by evaluating the m basis linear approximations, that is, $z[i] = \alpha_i \cdot p \oplus \beta_i \cdot c'$, $i = 0, ..., m-1$, where (p, c') is obtained from any distinct plaintext-ciphertext pair (p, c) after partial decryption of the last round and (α_i, β_i) denotes the i-th basis linear approximation. Thus, the value of z can be gotten, then the attacker increases the corresponding data value of the counter $V[z]$ by one. After all needed distinct plaintext-ciphertext pairs are computed, the attacker computes the statistic T:

$$T = \sum_{z=0}^{2^m-1} \frac{(V[z] - N2^{-m})^2}{N2^{-m}(1-2^{-m})}. \tag{2.1}$$

The statistic T follows a $\mathscr{X}^2$ -distribution with mean $\mu_0 = (\ell - 1)\frac{2^n - N}{2^n - 1}$ and variance $\sigma_0^2 = 2(\ell - 1)\left(\frac{2^n - N}{2^n - 1}\right)^2$ for the right key guess, while for the wrong key guess, it follows a $\mathscr{X}^2$-distribution with mean $\mu_1 = \ell - 1$ and variance $\sigma_1^2 = 2(\ell - 1)$.

If the probability of the type-I error and the type-II error to distinguish between a wrong key and a right key are denoted as β_0 and β_1, respectively, considering the decision threshold $\tau = \mu_0 + \sigma_0 z_{1-\beta_0} = \mu_1 - \sigma_0 z_{1-\beta_1}$, the number of known plaintexts N should be about

$$N = \frac{(2^n - 1)(z_{1-\beta_0} + z_{1-\beta_1})}{\sqrt{(\ell - 1)/2} + z_{1-\beta_0}} + 1, \tag{2.2}$$

where $z_{1-\beta_0}$ and $z_{1-\beta_1}$are the respective quantiles of the standard normal distribution. More details are described in [3].



Figure 2: Property of XOR, Branching, AND, OR, FL and FI functions

However, we employ $\ell = 2^m$ non-zero linear combinations of the m base subkey-dependent zero-correlation linear approximations, that is, the output masks of the linear approximations can be deduced by the input masks and the subkeys. When the guessed subkeys are right, T follows a $\mathscr{X}^2$ -distribution with mean $\mu_0 = (\ell - 1)\frac{2^n - N}{2^n - 1}$ and variance $\sigma_0^2 = 2(\ell - 1)\left(\frac{2^n - N}{2^n - 1}\right)^2$, while the guessed subkeys are wrong, $V[z]$ also follows the multinomial distribution, although the linear approximations used can not be guaranteed to be zero-correlation linear approximations, that is, for the wrong key guess, T also follows a $\mathscr{X}^2$-distribution with mean $\mu_1 = \ell - 1$ and variance $\sigma_1^2 = 2(\ell - 1)$.

Thus, the multidimensional zero-correlation linear cryptanalysis using the subkey-dependent linear approximations can be processed in the following steps.

(1) Find some longest subkeys-dependent zero-correlation linear approximations by using properties of encryption algorithm. Assume that the dimensional number of the distinguishers is ℓ.

(2) Allocate a subkey-dependent counter $V_k[z]$ for ℓ-bit z. The vector z is the concatenation of evaluations of ℓ subkey-dependent zero-correlation linear approximations.

(3) Update the counter $V_k[z]$ by guessing the subkeys in the process of partial-encryption and partial-decryption one after another by using the partial-sum technique.

(4) Guess the linear approximations involved subkeys k , compute statistic T according Equation (2.1). If $T \leq \tau$, then the guessed subkey values are possible right subkey candidates, where τ is computed in traditional method.

(5) Do exhaustive search for all right candidates.

Furthermore, the relations among subkeys can be obtained by carefully analyzing the key schedule algorithm, which can be used to reduce the subkey bits guessed.

3 Some Observations in MSITY1

In this section, we propose some zero-correlation linear approximations of MISTY1. Moreover, some properties of FL, FI and FO functions are discovered.

Lemma 1. *[4], [24] Let M be a ℓ-bit value and define the XOR, Branching, OR, AND functions h_1, h_2, h_3 and h_4 as $h_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \oplus x_2$, $h_2(x) = (x, x)$, $h_3(x) = M \vee x$, $h_4(x) = M \wedge x$, see Fig.2 (a,b,c,d). Then we have*

(1) *For any masks α_1, α_2 and β, $C\big(\beta \cdot h_1(x_1, x_2), (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \cdot (x_1, x_2)\big) \neq 0$ if and only if $\beta = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$;*

(2) *For any masks α, β_1 and β_2, $C\big((\beta_1, \beta_2) \cdot h_2(x), \alpha \cdot x\big) \neq 0$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta_1 \oplus \beta_2$;*

(3) *For any ℓ-bit masks α and β, $C(\beta \cdot h_3(x), \alpha \cdot x) \neq 0$ if and only if $\alpha = \neg M \wedge \beta$;*

(4) *For any ℓ-bit masks α and β, $C(\beta \cdot h_4(x), \alpha \cdot x) \neq 0$ if and only if $\alpha = M \wedge \beta$.*

Lemma 2. *Let (α, α'), (β, β') be the input and the corresponding output masks of the linear function FL_i with nonzero-correlation, then for any $0 \leq j \leq 15$, we have*

$$\alpha'[j] = \neg KL_{i,2}[j]\beta[j] \oplus \beta'[j], \text{ and } \alpha[j] = KL_{i,1}[j]\alpha'[j] \oplus \beta[j],$$

which can be denote by $(\alpha[j], \alpha'[j]) = \overline{FL_i}^j(\beta[j], \beta'[j]; KL_{i,1}[j], KL_{i,2}[j])$, see Fig.2(e) for detail. Then the function $\overline{FL_i} = (\overline{FL_i}^0, ..., \overline{FL_i}^{15})$ has the following two properties:

(1) *The function $\overline{FL_i}$ can be denoted as*

$$(\alpha, \alpha') = \overline{FL_i}(\beta, \beta'; KL_{i,1}, KL_{i,2}),$$

and $(\alpha'[j], \alpha[j])$ are not influenced by $(\beta'[k], \beta[k])$ and $(KL_{i,1}[k], KL_{i,2}[k])$, when $j \neq k$.

(2) *The function $\overline{FL_i}$ is linear, that is*

$$\overline{FL_i}(\beta_1 \oplus \beta_2) = \overline{FL_i}(\beta_1) \oplus \overline{FL_i}(\beta_2),$$

which means that the $\overline{FL_i}$ function is a linear components for the masks.

Lemma 3. *Let α, β be the 16-bit input and the corresponding output masks of the function $FI_{i,j}$, where $0 \leq i \leq 7$ and $0 \leq j \leq 2$, then $\beta = 0$ leads to $\alpha = 0$, if the correlation of the linear approximation is nonzero.*

Proof. Form Lemma 3 in [4], we only need to know the function FI is invertible, which can be proved easily and we omit it for simplicity.

With those three lemmas, we have some zero-correlation linear approximations on 5-round MISTY1. We give the descriptions in the following theorem.



Figure 3: Zero-correlation linear approximations on 5-round MISTY1

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $(0, \beta)$ *be the output masks of round 7 and* $(\alpha, 0)$ *be the input masks of round 3, then* $(\alpha, 0) \xrightarrow{5\text{-}Round} (0, \beta)$ *is a zero-correlation linear approximations form round 3 to 7, when* $\alpha = \overline{FL_3} \circ \overline{FL_5} \circ \overline{FL_7}(\beta)$ *and* β *is a 32-bit nonzero value, see Fig. 3(a). For the MISTY1 without FL functions,* $(\beta, 0) \xrightarrow{5\text{-}Round} (0, \beta)$ *is a zero-correlation linear approximations form round* r *to* $r + 4$*, where* β *is a 32-bit nonzero value and* $r = 1, 2, 3$*, see Fig. 3(b).*

Some properties of FI and FO functions can be described as the following two observations.

Observation 1. *Let* β *be a* 16*-bit value with* $\beta[7-15] = 0$ *and* $YFI_{i,j}$ *the 16-bit output of the* $FI_{i,j}$ *function. Then, the value of* $\beta \cdot YFI_{i,j}$ *can be written as* $X \oplus (\beta[0-6] \cdot KI_{i,j}[0-6])$*, where* X *is an value independent with* $KI_{i,j}$*.*

Observation 2. *Let* (β, β') *be a 32-bit value, such that* $\beta[7-15] = \beta'[7-15] = 0$*, and* YFO_i *the 32-bit output of the* FO_i *function. Then the value of* $(\beta, \beta') \cdot YFO_i$ *can be written as* $Y \oplus \big(\beta[0-6] \cdot (KI_{i,3} \oplus KI_{i,2} \oplus KO_{i,4})[0-6]\big) \oplus (\beta[0-6] \cdot KI_{i,2}[0-6])$*, where* Y *is an value dependent with* $KO_{i,1}$*,* $KO_{i,2}$*,* $KO_{i,3}$ *and* $KI_{i,1}$

4 Key-Recovery Attack on 7-Round MISTY1 with 4 FL Layers

In this section, we extend our attacks to 7-round(2-8) MISTY1 with 4 FL Layers. We mount the 5-round zero-correlation linear approximations from round 3 to 7, and extend one round forward and one round as well as one FL layer backward, respectively, see Fig.4(c). We select the 5-round zero-correlation linear approximations as $(\alpha_1 \| \alpha_2, 0) \xrightarrow{2 \text{ to } 6 \text{ round}} (0, \beta \| 0)$, that is

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \cdot (L_{1,l}, L_{1,r}) \oplus (\beta, 0) \cdot (R_{8,l}, R_{8,r}) = 0,$$



Figure 4: Attacks on 7-round MISTY1 with 4 FL layers

where β is 16-bit non-zero value with $\beta[7-15]=0$, and α_1, α_2 are two 16-bit non-zero values with $\alpha_1\|\alpha_2 = \overline{FL_3}\circ\overline{FL_5}\circ\overline{FL_7}(\beta\|0)$. Then, by Lemma 2, we can know that $\alpha_1[7-15] = \alpha_2[7-15] = 0$.

Basis Subkey-dependent Zero-correlation Masks. From the used subkey-dependent zero-correlation linear approximates, we know that α_1, α_2 are two 16-bit non-zero values with $\alpha_1\|\alpha_2 = \overline{FL_3}\circ\overline{FL_5}\circ\overline{FL_7}(\beta\|0)$, then 42-bit keys $K_2[0-6], K_3[0-6], K_4[0-6], K_8'[0-6], K_1'[0-6], K_2'[0-6]$ are involved. Assume that m_0,...,m_6 are seven 32-bit values, and $m_0 = (1,0,..,0)$, $m_1 = (0,1,0,...,0)$,...,$m_6 = (0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,...,0)$. For 2^{42} possible involved subkeys, compute

$$(\alpha_1)_i\|(\alpha_2)_i = \overline{FL_3}\circ\overline{FL_5}\circ\overline{FL_7}(m_i),$$

Store the value $z_i = (m_i[0-6]\|(\alpha_1)_i[0-6]\|(\alpha_2)_i[0-6])$, $i = 0,1,...,6$ in a hash table T_1 indexed by the 42-bit involved subkeys.

Attack Process. The key-recovery attacks on 7-round MISTY1 with 4 FL layers are proceeded with the partial-sum technique as follows.

1. Collect N plaintexts with corresponding ciphertexs. Allocate a 8-bit counter $V_0[y_0]$ for each of 2^{92} possible values of

$$y_0 = y_0^1\|y_0^2\|y_0^3\|y_0^4\|y_0^5\|y_0^6\|y_0^7\|y_0^8,$$

where $y_0^1 = L_{1,l}$, $y_0^2 = L_{1,r}$, $y_0^3 = R_{1,l}[0-6]$, $y_0^4 = R_{1,r}[0-6]$, $y_0^5 = R_{8,l}$, $y_0^6 = R_{8,r}$, $y_0^7 = L_{8,l}[0-6]$, $y_0^8 = L_{8,r}[0-6]$, and set them zero. Calculate the number of pairs of plaintext-ciphertext with given values y_0 and save it in $V_0[y_0]$. In this step, around 2^{64} plaintext-ciphertext pairs are divided into 2^{92} different states. So the assumption V_0 as a 8-bit counter is sufficient.

2. Allocate a counter $V_1[y_1]$ for each of 2^{60} possible values of

$$y_1 = y_1^1 \| y_1^2 \| y_1^3 \| y_1^4 \| y_1^5 \| y_1^6,$$

where $y_1^1 = y_0^2$, $y_1^2 = y_0^8$, and set them zero. For all 2^{69} possible values of y_0^1, y_0^3, y_0^4, y_0^5, y_0^6 and y_0^8, guess the 48-bit $KO_{2,1}$, $KL_{10,1}$, $KL_{10,2}$, that is K_2, K_7' and K_1, compute

$$y_1^3 = y_0^3 \oplus \big(FI_{2,1}(y_0^2 \oplus K_2, K_7') \oplus y_1^1\big)[0-6];$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_1^4 = y_0^4 &\oplus S_9\big(\big(FI_{2,1}(y_0^2 \oplus K_2, K_7') \oplus y_1^1 \oplus K_1\big)[0-8]\big) \\ &\oplus \big(FI_{2,1}(y_0^2 \oplus K_2, K_7') \oplus y_1^1 \oplus K_1\big)[9-15] \\ &\oplus S_7\big(\big(FI_{2,1}(y_0^2 \oplus K_2, K_7') \oplus y_1^1 \oplus K_1\big)[9-15]\big); \end{aligned}$$

$$y_1^5 = (y_0^6 \vee K_1) \oplus y_0^5;$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_1^6 = y_0^7 &\oplus (y_1^5 \wedge K_7') \oplus y_0^6 \oplus S_9\big(\big((y_1^5 \wedge K_7') \oplus y_0^6 \oplus K_2\big)[0-8]\big) \\ &\oplus \big((y_1^5 \wedge K_7') \oplus y_0^6 \oplus K_2\big)[9-15] \\ &\oplus S_7\big(\big((y_1^5 \wedge K_7') \oplus y_0^6 \oplus K_2\big)[9-15]\big), \end{aligned}$$

and update the value $V_1[y_1] = V_1[y_1] + V_0[y_0]$.

3. Allocate a counter $V_2[y_2]$ for each of 2^{53} possible values of

$$y_2 = y_2^1 \| y_2^2 \| y_2^3 \| y_2^4 \| y_2^5,$$

where $y_2^1 = y_1^1$, $y_2^2 = y_1^3$, $y_2^3 = y_1^4$, $y_2^4 = y_1^5$, and set them zero. For all 2^7 possible values of y_1^2, guess the 7-bit $KL_{9,2}[0-6]$, that $K_3'[0-6]$, and compute

$$y_2^5 = y_1^6 \oplus (K_3'[0-6] \wedge y_1^2[0-6]),$$

and update the value $V_2[y_2] = V_2[y_2] + V_1[y_1]$.

4. Allocate a counter $V_3[y_3]$ for each of 2^{44} possible values of

$$y_3 = y_3^1 \| y_3^2 \| y_3^3 \| y_3^4 \| y_3^5,$$

where $y_3^1 = y_2^1$, $y_3^2 = y_2^2$, $y_3^3 = y_2^3$, $y_3^4 = y_2^4[9-15]$ and set them zero. For all 2^9 possible values of $y_2^4[0-8]$, guess the 9-bit $KO_{8,1}[0-8]$, that is $K_8[0-8]$, compute

$$y_3^5 = y_2^5 \oplus S_9(y_2^4[0-8] \oplus K_8[0-8])[2-8],$$

and update the value $V_3[y_3] = V_3[y_3] + V_2[y_2]$.

5. Allocate a counter $V_4[y_4]$ for each of 2^{37} possible values of

$$y_4 = y_4^1 \| y_4^2 \| y_4^3 \| y_4^4,$$

where $y_4^1 = y_3^1$, $y_4^2 = y_3^2$, $y_4^3 = y_3^3$, and set them zero. For all 2^7 possible values of y_3^4, guess the 7-bit $KO_{8,1}[9-15]$, that is $K_8[9-15]$, compute

$$y_4^4 = y_3^5 \oplus y_3^4[0-6] \oplus S_7(y_3^4[0-6] \oplus K_4[9-15]),$$

and update the value $V_4[y_4] = V_4[y_4] + V_3[y_3]$.

6. Allocate a counter $V_5[y_5]$ for each of 2^{28} possible values of

$$y_3 = y_5^1 \| y_5^2 \| y_5^3 \| y_5^4,$$

where $y_5^1 = y_4^1[9-15]$, $y_5^4 = y_4^4$ and set them zero. For all 2^9 possible values of $y_4^1[0-8]$, guess the 9-bit $KO_{2,2}[0-8]$, that is $K_4[0-8]$, compute

$$y_5^2 = y_4^2 \oplus S_9(y_4^1[0-8] \oplus K_8[0-8])[2-8];$$

$$y_5^3 = y_4^3 \oplus S_9(y_4^1[0-8] \oplus K_8[0-8])[2-8],$$

and update the value $V_5[y_5] = V_5[y_5] + V_4[y_4]$.

7. Allocate a counter $V_6[y_6]$ for each of 2^{21} possible values of

$$y_6 = y_6^1 \| y_6^2 \| y_6^3,$$

where $y_6^3 = y_5^4$, and set them zero. For all 2^7 possible values of y_5^1, guess the 7-bit $KO_{2,2}[9-15]$, that is $K_4[9-15]$, compute

$$y_6^1 = y_5^2 \oplus y_5^1 \oplus S_7(y_5^1 \oplus K_4[9-15]);$$

$$y_6^2 = y_5^3 \oplus y_5^1 \oplus S_7(y_5^1 \oplus K_4[9-15]),$$

and update the value $V_6[y_6] = V_6[y_6] + V_5[y_5]$.

8. Up to now, we have guessed 87-bit subkeys K_1, K_2, $K_3'[0-6]$, K_4, K_7' and K_8 and we can deduce K_8' from K_8 and K_1 by the key schedule. Guess the 9-bit $K_3'[7-15]$, and we can deduce K_3 from K_3' and K_4, deduce K_2' from K_3 and K_2. Form table T_1, we can get the 7 basic subkey-dependent zero-correlation masks z_i, $i = 0, 1, ..., 6$.

9. Allocate a 64-bit counter vector $V[z]$ for 7-bit z, where z is the concatenation of evaluations of 7 basis subkey-dependent zero-correlation masks z_i, $i = 0, 1, ..., 6$. Compute z from y_6 with 7 subkey-dependent basis zero-correlation masks z_i, $i = 0, 1, ..., 6$, save it in $V[z]$, that is $V[z] += V_6[y_6]$.

10. Compute the statistic T according to Equation (2.1). If $T < \tau$, the guessed key value is a right key candidate. As there are 32 master key bits that we have not guessed, we do exhaustive search for all keys conforming to this possible key candidate.

Complexity of the Attack. In this attack, we set the type-I error probability $\beta_0 = 2^{-2.7}$ and the type-II error probability $\beta_1 = 2^{-10}$. We have $z_{1-\beta_0} \approx 1$, $z_{1-\beta_1} \approx 3.09$, $n = 64$, $l = 2^7$. The date complex N is about $2^{62.9}$ and the decision threshold $\tau \approx 2^{6.23}$. The time complexity of steps 1-10 in the described attack is as follows:

(1) Step 1 requires $2^{62.9}$ memory accesses.

(2) Step 2 requires $2^{62.9} \times 2^{48}$ memory accesses, because we should guess 48 bits K_1, K_2 and K_7', and compute y_1 from y_0, and then update V_1 for $2^{62.9}$ times.

(3) Step 3 requires $2^{48} \times 2^7 \times 2^{60}$ memory accesses, because for all of guessed 48 bits keys in previous steps we should guess 7 bits for $K_3'[0-6]$, and compute y_2 from y_1, and then update V_2 for 2^{60} times.

(4) Step 4 requires $2^{55} \times 2^9 \times 2^{53}$ memory accesses, because for all of guessed 55 bits keys in previous steps we should guess 9 bits for $K_8[0-8]$, and compute y_3 from y_2, and then update V_3 for 2^{53} times.

(5) Step 5 requires $2^{64} \times 2^7 \times 2^{44}$ memory accesses, because for all of guessed 64 bits keys in previous steps we should guess 7 bits for $K_8[9-15]$, and compute y_4 from y_3, and then update V_4 for 2^{44} times.

(6) Step 6 requires $2^{71} \times 2^9 \times 2^{37}$ memory accesses, because for all of guessed 71 bits keys in previous steps we should guess 9 bits for $K_4[0-8]$, and compute y_5 from y_4, and then update V_5 for 2^{37} times.

(7) Step 7 requires $2^{80} \times 2^7 \times 2^{28}$ memory accesses, because for all of guessed 80 bits keys in previous steps we should guess 7 bits for $K_4[9-15]$, and compute y_6 from y_5, and then update V_6 for 2^{28} times.

(8) Step 8 requires $2^{42} \times 7$ Table T_1 accesses.

(9) Step 9 requires $2^{96} \times 2^{21}$ memory accesses.

(10) Step 10 requires $2^{86} \times 2^{32}$ 7-round MISTY1 encryption. Because $2^{96} \cdot 2^{-10} = 2^{86}$ key candidates can survive in the wrong key filtration.



Figure 5: Attacks on 7-round MISTY1 without FL layers

If we consider one memory accesses as a 7-round encryption, the total time complexity is about $2^{119.5}$ of 7-round MISTY1. The total compute complexity is about $2^{119.5}$ 7-round MISTY1 encryptions with $2^{62.9}$ known plaintexts and 2^{93} memory bytes for counters.

5 Key-Recovery Attack on 7-Round MISTY1 without FL Layers

In this section, the multidimensional zero-correlation linear attack on 7-round MISTY1 without FL layers is presented. The 5-round zero-correlation linear approximations start from 3 round and end at 7 round, and extend one round forward and backward, respectively, see Fig. 5. We select the 5-round zero-correlation linear approximations as:

$$(\beta\|0,0) \overset{\text{3 to 7 round}}{\longrightarrow} (0,\beta\|0),$$

where β is 16-bit non-zero value with $\beta[7-15]=0$. The choice is to minimize the key words guessing during the attack on 7-round MISTY1. Form Observations 3, we know that, $KI_{2,1}$, $KI_{2,2}$, $KI_{2,3}$, $KO_{2,3}$,$KO_{2,4}$, $KI_{8,1}$, $KI_{8,2}$, $KI_{8,3}$, $KO_{8,3}$ and $KO_{8,4}$ are not involved in the computation, which can help us to reduce the complexity of the attack.

Basis Zero-correlation Masks. Assume that m_0,...,m_6 are seven 16-bit values, and $m_0=(1,0,..,0)$, $m_1=(0,1,0,...,0)$,..., $m_6=(0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,...,0)$. Let $z_i=(m_i[0-6]\|m_i[0-6])$, $i=0,1,...,6$, then we know $\{z_i\}_{i=0,1,...,6}$ are a group of basic zero-correlation masks.

Attack Process. The zero-correlation linear attack on 7-round MISTY1 without FL layers with the partial-sum technique is demonstrated as follows.

1. Collect N plaintexts with corresponding ciphertexs. Allocate a 8-bit counter $N_0[x_0]$ for each of 2^{71} possible values

$$x_0 = x_0^1 \| x_0^2 \| x_0^3 \| x_0^4 \| x_0^5,$$

where $x_0^1 = L_{1,r}$, $x_0^2 = L_{1,l}$, $x_0^3 = R_{8,r}$, $x_0^4 = R_{8,l}$, and

$$x_0^5 = R_{1,r}[0-6] \oplus R_{8,r}[0-6] \oplus L_{1,r}[0-6] \oplus R_{8,r}[0-6],$$

and set them zero. Calculate the number of pairs of plaintext-ciphertext with given values x_0 and save it in $N_0[x_0]$. In this step, around 2^{64} plaintext-ciphertext pairs are divided into 2^{71} different states. So the assumption N_0 as a 8-bit counter is sufficient.

2. Allocate a counter $N_1[x_1]$ for each of 2^{39} possible values

$$x_1 = x_1^1 \| x_1^2 \| x_1^3,$$

where $x_1^1 = x_0^1$, $x_1^2 = x_0^3$, and set them zero. For 2^{32} possible values of $x_0^2 \| x_0^4$, guess the 16-bit $KO_{1,1} = KO_{8,2}$, that is K_2, and compute

$$x_1^3 = x_0^5 \oplus S_9\big((x_0^2 \oplus K_2)[0-8]\big) \oplus (x_0^2 \oplus K_2)[9-15] \oplus S_7\big((x_0^2 \oplus K_2)[9-15]\big)$$

$$\oplus S_9\big((x_0^4 \oplus K_2)[0-8]\big) \oplus (x_0^4 \oplus K_2)[9-15] \oplus S_7\big((x_0^4 \oplus K_2)[9-15]\big),$$

and update the value $N_1[x_1] = N_1[x_1] + N_0[x_0]$.

3. Allocate a counter $N_2[x_2]$ for each of 2^{23} possible values of

$$x_2 = x_2^1 \| x_2^2,$$

where $x_2^1 = x_1^2$ and set them zero. For all 2^{16} possible values of x_1^1, guess the 16-bit $KO_{1,2}$, that is K_4, and compute

$$x_2^2 = x_1^3 \oplus S_9\big((x_1^1 \oplus K_4)[0-8]\big) \oplus (x_1^1 \oplus K_4)[9-15] \oplus S_7\big((x_1^1 \oplus K_4)[9-15]\big),$$

and update the value $N_2[x_2] = N_2[x_2] + N_1[x_1]$.

4. Allocate a counter $N_3[x_3]$ for each of 2^7 possible values of x_3, and set them zero. For all 2^{16} possible values of x_2^1, guess the 16-bit $KO_{8,1}$, that is K_8, and compute

$$x_3 = x_2^2 \oplus S_9\big((x_2^1 \oplus K_8)[0-8]\big) \oplus (x_2^1 \oplus K_8)[9-15] \oplus S_7\big((x_2^1 \oplus K_8)[9-15]\big),$$

and then, update the value $N_3[x_3] = N_3[x_3] + N_2[x_2]$.

5. Allocate a 64-bit counter vector $N[z]$ for 7-bit z, where z is the concatenation of evaluations of 7 basis zero-correlation masks z_i, $i = 0, 1, ..., 6$. Compute z from x_3 with 7 basis zero-correlation masks, save it in $N[z]$, that is $N[z] += N_3[x_3]$.

6. Compute the statistic T according to Equation (2.1). If $T < \tau$, the guessed key value is a right key candidate. As there are 80 master key bits that we have not guessed, we do exhaustive search for all keys conforming to this possible key candidate.

Complexity of the Attack. In this attack, we set the type-I error probability $\beta_0 = 2^{-2.7}$ and the type-II error probability $\beta_1 = 2^{-48}$. We have $z_{1-\beta_0} \approx 1$, $z_{1-\beta_1} \approx 7.91$, $n = 64$, $l = 2^7$. The date complex N is about $2^{63.9}$ and the decision threshold $\tau \approx 2^{6.97}$.

During the encryption and decryption phase, the complexity of Step 1,2,3,4 is no more than $2^{63.9}$ memory access, $2^{63.9} \times 2^{16}$ memory access, $2^{39} \times 2^{16} \times 2^{16} = 2^{71}$ memory access and $2^{23} \times 2^{16} \times 2^{16} \times 2^{16} = 2^{71}$ memory access, respectively.

Step 5 can be done independently. The complexity of Step 5 is no more than $7 \times 2^7 \times 2^{48}$ memory access.

Step 6 requires 2^{80} 7-round MISTY1 encryption, because for all of guessed 2^{48} keys in previous steps, only the right key candidate can survive in the wrong key filtration, the complexity of Step 7 is about 2^{80} 7-round MISTY1 encryption.

If we consider one memory accesses as a 7-round encryption, the total time complexity is about 2^{118} of 6-round MISTY1. In total, the data complexity is about $2^{63.9}$ known plaintexts, the time complexity is about 2^{81} of 7-round encryptions and the memory requirements are 2^{61} bytes for counters.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we have investigated the security of 7-round MISTY1 with 4 FL layers by means of multidimensional zero-correlation linear cryptanalysis. Firstly, some properties of the FL function in MISTY1 have been proposed, following which we have constructed the first known 5-round subkey-dependent zero-correlation linear distinguishers of MISTY1 with FL layers. This distinguishers cover the same number of rounds as the zero-correlation linear distinguishers for MISTY1 without FL layers and we explain that those subkey-dependent zero-correlation linear distinguishers can also be used in the multidimensional zero-correlation linear cryptanalysis. In order to reduce the number of guessed subkey bits, we find some observations on FO, FI and FL functions and select out a part of the subkey-dependent zero-correlation linear approximations and then, conduct the multidimensional zero-correlation attacks on the 7-round MISTY1 with 4 FL layers. The advantages of the attack over exhaustive search are about $128 - 119.5 = 8.5$ bits. Besides, multidimensional zero-correlation linear cryptanalysis of 7-round MISTY1 without FL layers has been conducted. None of the two attacks directly threatens the security of MISTY1, but they reduce the security margin of the cipher and give us an example to evaluate the effects of the FL layers.

References

[1] Babbage, S., Frisch, L.: On MISTY1 Higher Order Differential Cryptanalysis. In: Won, D. (ed.) ICISC 2000. LNCS, vol. 2015, pp. 22-36. Springer, Heidelberg (2001).

[2] Bogdanov, A., Geng, H., Wang, M., Wen, L., Collard, B.: Zero-correlation linear cryptanalysis with FFT and improved attacks on ISO standards Camellia and CLEFIA, in: T. Lange, K. Lauter, P. Lisonek (Eds.), SAC13, in: Lect. Notes Comput. Sci., Springer-Verlag, 2013, in press.

[3] Bogdanov, A., Leander, G., Nyberg, K., Wang, M. : Integral and multidimensional linear distinguishers with correlation zero, in: X. Wang,K. Sako (Eds.), AsiaCrypt 2012, in: Lect. Notes Comput. Sci., vol. 7658, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 24-262.

[4] Bogdanov, A., Rijmen, V.: Linear Hulls with Correlation Zero and Linear Cryptanalysis of Block Ciphers. Designs, Codes and Cryptography, Springer, US, 2012, pp.1-15.

[5] Bogdanov, A., Wang, M.: Zero Correlation Linear Cryptanalysis with Reduced Data Complexity, in: A. Canteaut (Ed.), FSE 2012, in: Lect.Notes Com put. Sci., vol. 7549, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 29-48.

[6] Dai, Y., Chen, S.:Weak key class of MISTY1 for related-key differential attack. In: Moti, Y., Wu, C.K. (eds.) INSCRYPT 2011, to appear in LNCS

[7] Dunkelman, O., Keller, N.:An Improved Impossible Differential Attack on MISTY1. In: Pieprzyk, J.(Ed.): ASIACRYPT 2008, LNCS 5350, pp. 441-454. Springer, Heidelberg (2008).

[8] Ferguson, N., Kelsey, J., Lucks, S., Schneier, B., Stay, M., Wagner, D., Whiting, D.: Improved cryptanalysis of Rijndael. FSE 2000. LNCS, vol. 1978, pp. 213-230.

[9] ISO/IEC, ISO/IEC 18033-3:2010 Information technology- Security techniques -Encryption algorithms -Part 3: Block ciphers, 2010.

[10] Jia,K., Li,L.: Improved Impossible Differential Attacks on Reduced-round MISTY1. ISA 2012. LNCS, Vol. 7690, pp 15-27.

[11] Kühn, U.: Cryptanalysis of Reduced-round MISTY. In: Pfitzmann, B. (ed.) EUROCRYPT 2001. LNCS, vol. 2045, pp. 325-339. Springer, Heidelberg (2001).

[12] Kühn, U.: Improved Cryptanalysis of MISTY1. In: Daemen, J., Rijmen, V. (eds.) FSE 2002. LNCS, vol. 2365, pp. 61-75. Springer, Heidelberg (2002).

[13] Lu, J., Kim, J., Keller, N., Dunkelman, O.:Improving the Efficiency of Impossible Differential Cryptanalysis of Reduced Camellia and MISTY1. In: Malkin, T.(Ed.): CT-RSA 2008, LNCS, vol. 4964, pp. 370-386. Springer, Heidelberg (2008)

[14] Lee, S., Kim, J., Hong, D., Lee, C., Sung, J., Hong, S., Lim, J.: Weak Key Classes of 7- round MISTY 1 and 2 for Related-key Amplied Boomerang Attacks. IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences 91-A(2), 642-649(2008)

[15] Lu,J., Yap, W., Wei, Y.: Weak Keys of the Full MISTY1 Block Cipher for Related-Key Cryptanalysis, IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive 2012: 66 (2012).

[16] Matsui, M.: New Block Encryption Algorithm MISTY. In: Biham, E. (ed.) FSE 1997. LNCS, vol. 1267, pp. 54-68.

[17] Mitsuru Matsui, A Description of the MISTY1 Encryption Algorithm, RFC 2994, November 2000.

[18] NESSIE, Portfolio of recommended cryptographic primitives.

[19] Sun, X., Lai, X.: Improved Integral Attacks on MISTY1. In: Jacobson Jr., M.J. Rijmen, V.,Safavi-Naini, R. (Eds.): SAC 2009, LNCS, vol. 5867, pp. 266-280. Springer, Heidelberg(2009)

[20] 3rd Generation Partnership Project, Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects, 3G Security, Specification of the 3GPP Confidentiality and Integrity Algorithms; Document 2: KASUMI Specification, V3.1.1 (2001).

[21] Tsunoo, Y., Saito, T., Shigeri, M., Kawabata, T.: Higher Order Differential Attacks on Reduced-Round MISTY1. In: Lee, P.J., Cheon, J.H.(Eds.): ICISC 2008, LNCS, vol. 5461, pp. 415-431. Springer, Heidelberg (2009).

[22] Wen, L., Wang, M., Bogdanov, A., Chen,H.: Multidimensional Zero-Correlation Attacks on Lightweight Block Cipher HIGHT: Improved Cryptanalysis of an ISO Standard. Information Processing Letters 114(6), pp. 322-330.

[23] Wen, L.,Wang, M., Bogdanov, A.: Multidimensional Zero-Correlation Linear Cryptanalysis of E2. Africacrypt'14, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (LNCS), Springer-Verlag, 2014, to appear.

[24] Yi.W., Chen, S.: Multidimensional Zero-Correlation Linear Cryptanalysis of the Block Cipher KASUMI. http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.6100.